P-wave contribution to three-body B decays

> Hsiang-nan Li Academia Sinica, Taipei Presented at High 1 Feb. 07, 2017

Outlines

- Introduction
- Two-hadron distribution amplitudes
- P-wave contribution
- Summary

Introduction

3-body hadronic B decays

- 3-body B decays more complicated than 2body, including both resonant contribution $B \rightarrow \pi \rho \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi$ and nonresonant one, containing stronger final-state interaction (FSI)
- Definitions of invariant masses in 3-body B decays



Dalitz plot

 LHCb has measured CP asymmetries in whole Dalitz plot



Motivation

- Data result from entangled nonresonant and resonant contributions, and of different partial waves
- Develop a theoretical approach to 3-body hadronic B decays
- Understand data and predict direct CP asymmetries of 3-body decays in localized regions of phase space
- Very challenging!

PQCD for 2-body B decays

- PQCD approach to 2-body B decays based on kT factorization: b quark decay kernel convoluted with TMD hadron wave functions
- Parton kT smears end-point singularity



Typical diagram for 3-body decay



Approaches in literature

- Based on parameterizations of current-induced process transition process
 But, annihilation process?
- Nonfactorizable contribution?
- Resonant via Breit-Wigner then double counting of nonresonant?
- Rescattering (FSI) strong phases?







Two-hadron distribution amplitudes

Our proposal in 2002 (Chen, Li)

 Inspired by generalized parton distribution (GPD) based on dominance of hand-bag diagram in forward scattering



• Non-forward, same order of magnitude



k1 // k2 no need of hard gluon k1+q1 = k2? k2 off-shell need hard gluon

Two-hadron DA

е

 Introduce two-hadron distribution amplitude (TDA, crossing of GPD) for dominant region in 3-body B decays







p

power suppressed

3-body reduced to 2-body



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



PHYSICS LETTERS B

Physics Letters B 561 (2003) 258-265

www.elsevier.com/locate/npe

Three-body nonleptonic *B* decays in perturbative QCD

Chuan-Hung Chen, Hsiang-Nan Li

Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan, ROC

Received 18 March 2003; accepted 24 March 2003

Editor: T. Yanagida

Abstract

We develop perturbative QCD formalism for three-body nonleptonic B meson decays. Leading contributions are identified by defining power counting rules for various topologies of amplitudes. The analysis is simplified into the one for two-body decays by introducing two-meson distribution amplitudes. This formalism predicts both nonresonant and resonant contributions, and can be generalized to baryonic decays.

Definitions of TDAs

• TDAs for vector, scalar, tensor currents (from Fierz transformation for factorizing quark flow)

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{v}(z,\zeta,w^{2}) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N_{c}}} \int \frac{dy^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-izP^{+}y^{-}} \langle \pi^{+}(P_{1})\pi^{-}(P_{2})|\bar{\psi}(y^{-}) \not h_{-}T\psi(0)|0\rangle ,\\ \Phi_{s}(z,\zeta,w^{2}) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N_{c}}} \frac{P^{+}}{w} \int \frac{dy^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-izP^{+}y^{-}} \langle \pi^{+}(P_{1})\pi^{-}(P_{2})|\bar{\psi}(y^{-})T\psi(0)|0\rangle ,\\ \Phi_{t}(z,\zeta,w^{2}) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N_{c}}} \frac{f_{2\pi}^{\perp}}{w^{2}} \int \frac{dy^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-izP^{+}y^{-}} \langle \pi^{+}(P_{1})\pi^{-}(P_{2})|\bar{\psi}(y^{-})i\sigma_{\mu\nu}n_{-}^{\mu}P^{\nu}T\psi(0)|0\rangle \\ p &= p_{1} + p_{2} \qquad \omega^{2} = p^{2} \end{split}$$



$$T = \sigma^3/2$$
, $I = 1$ Isovector, P-wave $T = 1/2$, $I = 0$ Isosinglet, S-wave

Parameterization of TDAs

- Normalization $\int_{0}^{1} dz \Phi_{\parallel}^{I=1}(z,\zeta,w^{2}) = (2\zeta-1)F_{\pi}(w^{2})$ $\int_{0}^{1} dz \Phi_{\perp}^{I=1}(z,\zeta,w^{2}) = (2\zeta-1)F_{t}(w^{2})$
- Up to leading partial wave expansion

$$\Phi_{v,t}(z,\zeta,w^2) = \frac{3F_{\pi,t}(w^2)}{\sqrt{2N_c}} z(1-z)(2\zeta-1) =$$

complex time-like from data include FSI $\Phi_s(z,\zeta,w^2) = \frac{3F_s(w^2)}{\sqrt{2N_c}}$

correspond to I = 1 P wave...

form factors Fs, Ft, twist-3, suppressed by a power in PQCD correspond to I = 0 S wave...

Wang, Hu, Li, Lu 2014

P-wave contribution

Wang, Li 2016

Motivation

- M. Nakao asked about P-wave contribution during 2015 Winter Conference at High-1, where I talked about S Wave
- For complete analysis for Dalitz plots, we do need inputs of P-wave two-hadron DAs
- Consider quasi-two body $B \to K \rho \to K \pi \pi$ for which BaBar, Belle, LHCb data are available
- Can also check consistency with two-body PQCD formalism for $B \rightarrow K\rho$

Parameterization

• P-wave two-pion Das

Gegenbauer moments to be determined

$$\begin{split} \phi_{v\nu=-}^{I=1}(z,\zeta,w^2) &\equiv \phi^0(z,\zeta,w^2) \ = \ \frac{3F_\pi(w^2)}{\sqrt{2N_c}} z(1-z) \left[1 + a_2^0 C_2^{3/2}(1-2z) \right] P_1(2\zeta-1) \ , \\ \phi_s^{I=1}(z,\zeta,w^2) &\equiv \phi^s(z,\zeta,w^2) \ = \ \frac{3F_s(w^2)}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} (1-2z) \left[1 + a_2^s \left(1 - 10z + 10z^2 \right) \right] P_1(2\zeta-1) \ , \\ \phi_{t\nu=+}^{I=1}(z,\zeta,w^2) &\equiv \phi^t(z,\zeta,w^2) \ = \ \frac{3F_t(w^2)}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} (1-2z)^2 \left[1 + a_2^t C_2^{3/2}(1-2z) \right] P_1(2\zeta-1) \ , \end{split}$$

Form factor input from e+e- annihilation data

$$\rho - \omega \text{ mixing} \qquad \text{BaBar 2012}$$

$$F_{\pi}(w^2) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{GS}_{\rho}(w^2, m_{\rho}, \Gamma_{\rho}) \frac{1 + c_{\omega} \text{BW}_{\omega}(w^2, m_{\omega}, \Gamma_{\omega})}{1 + c_{\omega}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Gounaris-Sakurai} \\ \text{model} \\ & + \sum c_i \text{GS}_i(w^2, m_i, \Gamma_i) \end{bmatrix} \left(1 + \sum c_i\right)^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Breit-Wigner} \\ \text{function} \\ i = \rho'(1450), \ \rho''(1700) \text{ and } \rho'''(2254) \end{bmatrix}$$

Feynman diagrams

 All inputs are ready, go ahead to calculate 16 diagrams (similar to 2-body decays) nonfactorizable



Results

 Fitted P-wave Gegenbauer moments from Kρ channels

$$a_2^0 = 0.25, a_2^s = 0.75, \text{ and } a_2^t = -0.60$$

		Results	Data [98]
$K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$3.42_{-0.55}^{+0.78}(\omega_B)_{-0.39}^{+0.44}(a_2^t)_{-0.38}^{+0.39}(m_0^K)_{-0.32}^{+0.39}(a_2^0)_{-0.28}^{+0.29}(a_2^s)$	3.7 ± 0.5
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.43^{+0.04}_{-0.05}(\omega_B) \pm 0.06(a_2^t) \pm 0.03(m_0^K) \pm 0.03(a_2^0) \pm 0.01(a_2^s)$	0.37 ± 0.10
$K^0\pi^+\pi^0$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$7.43^{+1.92}_{-1.31}(\omega_B)^{+1.65}_{-1.42}(a_2^t)^{+0.88}_{-0.91}(m_0^K)^{+0.60}_{-0.62}(a_2^0)^{+0.53}_{-0.47}(a_2^s)$	8.0 ± 1.5
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.15^{+0.02}_{-0.01}(\omega_B)^{+0.04}_{-0.05}(a_2^t) \pm 0.01(m_0^K)^{+0.01}_{-0.00}(a_2^0) \pm 0.00(a_2^s)$	-0.12 ± 0.17
$K^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$6.51^{+1.71}_{-1.12}(\omega_B)^{+0.58}_{-0.61}(a_2^t)^{+0.78}_{-0.77}(m_0^K)^{+0.67}_{-0.64}(a_2^0)^{+0.39}_{-0.47}(a_2^s)$	7.0 ± 0.9
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.31^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(\omega_B)^{+0.09}_{-0.08}(a_2^t)^{+0.03}_{-0.02}(m_0^K) \pm 0.01(a_2^0) \pm 0.02(a_2^s)$	0.20 ± 0.11
$K^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$3.76^{+1.09}_{-0.74}(\omega_B)^{+0.73}_{-0.60}(a_2^t)^{+0.52}_{-0.47}(m_0^K)^{+0.28}_{-0.25}(a_2^0)^{+0.26}_{-0.23}(a_2^s)$	4.7 ± 0.6
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.06^{+0.01}_{-0.02}(\omega_B)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(a_2^t) \pm 0.00(m_0^K)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(a_2^0) \pm 0.00(a_2^s)$	—

Consistency with 2-body formalism

- BRs are close between 3-body and 2-body formalism, direct CPAs differ a bit
- CPA from 3-body more consistent with data

$$\begin{split} K^+ \rho^0 & \begin{cases} \mathcal{B} = (3.52^{+0.67}_{-0.45}(\omega_B)^{+0.40}_{-0.34}(a_2^t)^{+0.42}_{-0.38}(m_0^K)^{+0.47}_{-0.43}(a_2^0)^{+0.25}_{-0.24}(a_2^s)) \times 10^{-6} ,\\ \mathcal{A}_{CP} = 0.55^{+0.02}_{-0.04}(\omega_B)^{+0.09}_{-0.08}(a_2^t) \pm 0.03(m_0^K)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(a_2^0) \pm 0.01(a_2^s) ,\\ K^0 \rho^+ & \begin{cases} \mathcal{B} = (7.66^{+1.79}_{-1.19}(\omega_B)^{+1.69}_{-1.44}(a_2^t)^{-0.95}(m_0^K)^{+0.84}_{-0.73}(a_2^0)^{+0.43}_{-0.41}(a_2^s)) \times 10^{-6} ,\\ \mathcal{A}_{CP} = 0.22 \pm 0.03(\omega_B)^{+0.03}_{-0.05}(a_2^t) \pm 0.01(m_0^K) \pm 0.00(a_2^0) \pm 0.00(a_2^s) \\\\ \mathcal{A}_{CP} = 0.32 \pm 0.03(\omega_B)^{+0.67}_{-0.53}(a_2^t)^{+0.86}_{-0.81}(m_0^K)^{+0.91}_{-0.80}(a_2^0)^{+0.42}_{-0.44}(a_2^s)) \times 10^{-6} ,\\ \mathcal{A}_{CP} = 0.34^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(\omega_B)^{+0.13}_{-0.12}(a_2^t)^{+0.03}_{-0.02}(m_0^K)^{+0.01}_{-0.02}(a_2^0)^{+0.01}_{-0.02}(a_2^s) .\\ \end{cases} \\ K^0 \rho^0 & \begin{cases} \mathcal{B} = (4.01^{+1.07}_{-0.71}(\omega_B)^{+0.70}_{-0.63}(a_2^t)^{+0.55}_{-0.50}(m_0^K)^{+0.40}_{-0.35}(a_2^0) \pm 0.19(a_2^s)) \times 10^{-6} ,\\ \mathcal{A}_{CP} = 0.04 \pm 0.01(\omega_B) \pm 0.00(a_2^t) \pm 0.00(m_0^K)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(a_2^0) \pm 0.00(a_2^s) \\ \end{cases} \\ \end{split}$$

More results

- Differential distribution of CPA
- CPA of K⁺ρ⁰ would be overestimated in 2-body formalism
- Predictions

$$\begin{split} K^{+}\rho^{\prime 0} &\to K^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-} & \mathcal{B} \ (10^{-7}) \\ & \mathcal{A}_{CP} \\ K^{0}\rho^{\prime +} &\to K^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{0} & \mathcal{B} \ (10^{-7}) \\ & \mathcal{A}_{CP} \\ K^{+}\rho^{\prime -} &\to K^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0} & \mathcal{B} \ (10^{-7}) \\ & \mathcal{A}_{CP} \\ K^{0}\rho^{\prime 0} &\to K^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-} & \mathcal{B} \ (10^{-7}) \\ & \mathcal{A}_{CP} \end{split}$$



 $\begin{aligned} 4.32^{+1.17}_{-0.99}(\omega_B)^{+0.81}_{-0.79}(a^t_2)^{+0.59}_{-0.64}(a^s_2)^{+0.40}_{-0.46}(m^K_0)^{+0.13}_{-0.17}(a^0_2) \\ 0.32^{+0.06}_{-0.04}(\omega_B) \pm 0.03(a^t_2)^{+0.01}_{-0.02}(a^s_2)^{+0.02}_{-0.01}(m^K_0) \pm 0.01(a^0_2) \\ 10.37^{+3.72}_{-2.36}(\omega_B)^{+3.14}_{-2.71}(a^t_2)^{+1.26}_{-1.03}(a^s_2)^{+0.92}_{-0.92}(m^K_0)^{+0.42}_{-0.37}(a^0_2) \\ 0.12 \pm 0.02(\omega_B)^{+0.02}_{-0.01}(a^t_2)^{+0.03}_{-0.02}(a^s_2) \pm 0.01(m^K_0) \pm 0.01(a^0_2) \\ 7.61^{+2.37}_{-1.90}(\omega_B)^{+1.32}_{-1.03}(a^t_2)^{+0.18}_{-0.75}(m^K_0)^{+0.26}_{-0.22}(a^0_2) \\ 0.27^{+0.02}_{-0.01}(\omega_B) \pm 0.06(a^t_2)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(a^s_2) \pm 0.02(m^K_0) \pm 0.01(a^0_2) \\ 4.84^{+1.82}_{-1.32}(\omega_B)^{+1.11}_{-1.05}(a^t_2) \pm 0.50(a^s_2)^{+0.48}_{-0.46}(m^K_0)^{+0.14}_{-0.16}(a^0_2) \\ 0.08^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(\omega_B)^{+0.02}_{-0.00}(a^t_2) \pm 0.01(a^s_2) \pm 0.01(m^K_0) \pm 0.01(a^0_2) \end{aligned}$

Summary

- Systematic approach to 3-body B decays with two-hadron DAs has been established
- Can include both resonant and nonresonant contributions in time-like form factors
- Both S-wave and P-wave two-pion DAs have been determined
- Consistency between 3-body and 2-body PQCD formalisms has been verified
- Ready to explain and predict direct CPAs of 3body B decays in various localized regions of phase space

Back-up slides

Kinematics

• Meson momenta in light-cone coordinates

$$p_B = \frac{m_B}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1, 0_{\rm T}), \quad p = \frac{m_B}{\sqrt{2}}(1, \eta, 0_{\rm T}), \quad p_3 = \frac{m_B}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1 - \eta, 0_{\rm T})$$

• Two-hadron invariant mass

$$\begin{split} \omega^2 &= p^2 \qquad p = p_1 + p_2 \qquad \eta = \frac{\omega^2}{m_B^2} \\ \text{pi+ pi-} \end{split}$$

pi+ momentum fraction

$$p_1^+ = \zeta \frac{m_B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad p_1^- = (1-\zeta)\eta \frac{m_B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad p_2^+ = (1-\zeta)\frac{m_B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad p_2^- = \zeta \eta \frac{m_B}{\sqrt{2}}$$

pion momentum fraction

C-parity

• C-parity (charge parity) for mesonic state is equivalent to parity

$$\mathcal{C} \left| \pi^+ \, \pi^- \right\rangle = (-1)^L \left| \pi^+ \, \pi^- \right\rangle$$

- C-parity for quark fields (spinors) $\psi^{(c)} = C\psi^{\star} \quad C = i\gamma^2$ $C^{\dagger}\gamma^{\mu}C = -(\gamma^{\mu})^{\star}$
- C-parity is odd for vector and tensor currents, and even for scalar current

Motivation

 Recent LHCb data of direct CP asymmetries in localized regions of phase space $A_{CP}^{\text{region}}(K^+K^-K^-) = -0.226 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.007,$ for $m_{K^+K^-\text{high}}^2 < 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $1.2 < m_{K^+K^-\text{low}}^2 < 2.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ $A_{CP}^{\text{region}}(K^-\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.678 \pm 0.078 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.007.$ for $m_{K^-\pi^+\text{high}}^2 < 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $0.08 < m_{\pi^+\pi^-\text{low}}^2 < 0.66 \text{ GeV}^2$ $A_{CP}^{\text{region}}(K^+K^-\pi^-) = -0.648 \pm 0.070 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.007$ for $m_{K^+K^-}^2 < 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ rho resonance $A_{CP}^{\text{region}}(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-) = 0.584 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.007$ for $m_{\pi^+\pi^-\text{high}}^2 > 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $m_{\pi^+\pi^-\text{low}}^2 < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$

Rescattering phases

- LHCb data of CP asymmetries in localized regions (nonresonant only) offered a chance to confront our theory
- Data for rescattering phases in localized region ($m_{\pi\pi}^2 < 0.4 \ GeV^2$) are available



Direct CP asymmetry

• Factorization formula for decay amplitude

 $\mathcal{M} = \Phi_B \otimes H \otimes \Phi_{h_1 h_2} \otimes \Phi_{h_3}$

- B meson and hadron DAs have been widely adopted in analysis of 2-body decay
- Calculate B+ and B- decays $A^{reg}(B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 0.52^{+0.12}(m)^{+0.11}(\pi^{\pi})^{+0.11}(m^{\pi}$

 $A_{CP}^{reg} (B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) = 0.52_{-0.22}^{+0.12} (\omega_{B})_{-0.09}^{+0.11} (a_{2}^{\pi})_{-0.03}^{+0.03} (m_{0}^{\pi}) + 0.05 + 0.15 + 0.1$

- Data $A_{CP}^{\text{region}}(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-) = 0.584 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.007$
- Short-distance (annihilation) phase important
- P wave phase doubled, Acp increases up to 0.7

Form factor ratio

• Consistency between two-pion Das and pole model π



 $F_{s,t}^{\rho}(w^2) \approx g_{\rho\pi\pi} w f_{\rho}^T / D_{\rho}(w^2)$

• Form factor ratio $F_{s,t}(w^2) \approx (f_{\rho}^T/f_{\rho})F_{\pi}(w^2)$

LHCb measurement



CERN-PH-EP-2013-024 LHCb-PAPER-2013-069 February 26, 2014

1402.6248

theoretical framework is ready wait for data

Measurement of resonant and $C\!P$ components in $\overline B{}^0_s\to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ decays



Fit fractions

Fit fractions (%) of contributing components for both solutions

Component	Solution I	Solution II
$f_0(980)$	$70.3 \pm 1.5^{+0.4}_{-5.1}$	$92.4 \pm 2.0^{+0.8}_{-16.0}$
$f_0(1500)$	$10.1 \pm 0.8^{+1.1}_{-0.3}$	$9.1\pm0.9\pm0.3$
$f_0(1790)$	$2.4 \pm 0.4^{+5.0}_{-0.2}$	$0.9 \pm 0.3^{+2.5}_{-0.1}$
$f_2(1270)_0$	$0.36 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.42 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04$
$f_2(1270)_{\parallel}$	$0.52 \pm 0.15^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$	$0.42 \pm 0.13^{+0.11}_{-0.02}$
$f_2(1270)_{\perp}$	$0.63 \pm 0.34^{+0.16}_{-0.08}$	$0.60 \pm 0.36^{+0.12}_{-0.09}$
$f_2'(1525)_0$	$0.51 \pm 0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	$0.52 \pm 0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$
$f'_2(1525)_{\parallel}$	$0.06^{+0.13}_{-0.04} \pm 0.01$	$0.11_{-0.07-0.04}^{+0.16+0.03}$
$f'_2(1525)_{\perp}$	$0.26 \pm 0.18^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	$0.26 \pm 0.22^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$
NR	-	$5.9 \pm 1.4^{+0.7}_{-4.6}$

S-wave 2-pion DAs

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\pi\pi}^{S-wave} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}} \left[\not\!\!\!/ \Phi_{v\nu=-}^{I=0}(z,\zeta,w^2) + \omega \Phi_s^{I=0}(z,\zeta,w^2) \right. \\ &+ \omega (\not\!\!\!/ + \not\!\!\!/ - 1) \Phi_{t\nu=+}^{I=0}(z,\zeta,w^2) \right] \end{split}$$

Gegenbauer moment to be determined

$$\phi_0 = \frac{9F_s(w^2)}{\sqrt{2N_c}} a_2^{I=0} z(1-z)(1-2z)$$

$$\phi_s = \frac{F_s(w^2)}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}, \quad \phi_\sigma = \frac{F_s(w^2)}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}(1-2z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Flatte and BW models} \\ F_s^{s\bar{s}}(\omega^2) &= \frac{c_1 m_{f_0(980)}^2 e^{i\theta_1}}{m_{f_0(980)}^2 - \omega^2 - im_{f_0(980)} (g_{\pi\pi}\rho_{\pi\pi} + g_{KK}\rho_{KK})} \\ & \text{pion time-like} \\ & \text{form factor} \\ & + \frac{c_2 m_{f_0(1500)}^2 e^{i\theta_2}}{m_{f_0(1500)}^2 - \omega^2 - im_{f_0(1500)} \Gamma_{f_0(1500)} (\omega^2)} \\ & \text{Flatte PLB, 1976} \\ & + \frac{c_3 m_{f_0(1790)}^2 e^{i\theta_3}}{m_{f_0(1790)}^2 - \omega^2 - im_{f_0(1790)} \Gamma_{f_0(1790)} (\omega^2)} , \\ & \rho_{\pi\pi} = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\pi^\pm}^2}{\omega^2}} + \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\pi^0}^2}{\omega^2}} \\ & g_{\pi\pi} = 0.167 \text{ GeV} \\ & \rho_{KK} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{K^\pm}^2}{\omega^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{K^0}^2}{\omega^2}} \\ & g_{KK} = 3.47 g_{\pi\pi} \end{aligned}$$

nonresonant ~ 1/w^2 asymptotically

PQCD fit

 $c_1 = 1.17, \quad c_2 = 0.12, \quad c_3 = 0.06.$ Gegenbauer moment $\theta_1 = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta_3 = 0.$ $a_2^{I=0} = 0.2$ $Br(B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi f_0(980)[f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-])$ interference among resonances $Br(B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0(1500)[f_0(1500) \to \pi^+\pi^-])$ $Br(B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0(1790)[f_0(1790) \to \pi^+\pi^-])$ $(1.33^{+0.51}_{-0.36}(\omega_{B_s})^{+0.19}_{-0.16}(a_2^{I=0})^{+0.03}_{-0.02}(m_c)) \times 10^{-4}$ 75.1% $(1.77^{+0.53}_{-0.39}(\omega_{B_s})^{+0.30}_{-0.25}(a_2^{I=0}) \pm 0.02(m_c)) \times 10^{-5}$ 10.0% $(2.15^{+0.58}_{-0.49}(\omega_{B_s})^{+0.34}_{-0.32}(a_2^{I=0}) \pm 0.03(m_c)) \times 10^{-6}$ 1.2%

closer to Solution I of LHCb data

Comparison with data

 $B_s^0 \to J/\Psi \pi^+ \pi^-$



PQCD(NLO)

LHCb(Sol 1)